

Deterrorizing Sanskrit

Aorist

Harald Wiese

University of Leipzig

General remarks

- no class signs
- augment *a*
- secondary endings, roughly speaking

		formation of 3. sg.					
aorist	✓	augm.	redupl.	root	infix	them. vow.	ending
redupl.	<i>pat</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>pa</i>	<i>pt</i>		-	<i>t</i>
sigm. <i>sa</i>	<i>diś</i>	<i>a</i>		<i>dik</i>	<i>s̥</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>t</i>
sigm. <i>s</i>	<i>yuj</i>	<i>a</i>		<i>yâuk</i>	<i>s̥</i>		<i>ī-t</i>

General remarks

① thematic aorist:

augment + zero-grade root + *a* + ending

② reduplication aorist:

augment + reduplicated zero-grade root + *a* + ending

③ root aorist:

augment + zero-grade root + ending

④ sigmatic aorist:

s infix + lengthened-grade root + *s* + ending

sa infix + zero-grade root + *s* + *a* + ending

iṣ infix + root + *iṣ* + ending

siṣ infix + (full-grade) root + *siṣ* + ending

General remarks

The following table offers examples for these 3 + 4 groups:

aorist	✓	3. sg.	3. pl.
thematic (pp.)	<i>yuj</i>	<i>a-yuj-a-t</i>	<i>a-yuj-a-n</i>
reduplicated (pp.)	<i>pat</i>	<i>a-pa-pt-a-t</i>	<i>a-pa-pt-n</i>
root (pp.)	<i>bhū</i>	<i>a-bhū-t</i>	<i>a-bhūv-an</i>
sigmatic <i>s</i>	<i>yuj</i>	<i>a-yâuk-ṣ-ī-t</i>	<i>a-yâuk-ṣ-us</i>
<i>sa</i>	<i>dīś</i>	<i>a-dik-ṣ-a-t</i>	<i>a-diks-a-n</i>
<i>is</i>	<i>vad</i>	<i>a-vād-ī-t</i>	<i>a-vād-is-us</i>
<i>sis</i>	<i>snā</i>	<i>a-snā-sī-t</i>	<i>a-snā-sis-us</i>

Thematic aorist

Formula

augment + zero-grade root + *a* + ending

Examples

thematic aorist		formation of 3. sg.			
	✓	augm.	root	them. vow.	ending
	<i>lubh</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>lubh</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>t</i>
	<i>tuṣ</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>tuṣ</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>t</i>
	<i>yuj</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>yuj</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>t</i>

Thematic aorist

✓ <i>lubh</i>			
parasmāipada			
aorist			
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-lubh-a-m</i>	<i>a-lubh-ā-va</i>	<i>a-lubh-ā-ma</i>
2	<i>a-lubh-a-s</i>	<i>a-lubh-a-tam</i>	<i>a-lubh-a-ta</i>
3	<i>a-lubh-a-t</i>	<i>a-lubh-a-tām</i>	<i>a-lubh-a-n</i>

Endings secondary parasmāipada

Reduplicated aorist

Formula

augment + reduplicated zero-grade root + *a* + ending

Examples

redupl. aorist	formation of 3. sg.					
	✓	augm.	redupl.	root	them. vow.	ending
<i>pat</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>pa</i>	<i>pt</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>t</i>
<i>vac</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>va</i>	<i>uc</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>t</i>
<i>kath</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>ca</i>	<i>kath</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>t</i>

where the last verb *kath* does not use the zero grade root.

Reduplicated aorist

✓ <i>muc</i>			
parasmāipada			
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-mū-muc-a-m</i>	<i>a-mū-muc-ā-va</i>	<i>a-mū-muc-ā-ma</i>
2	<i>a-mū-muc-a-s</i>	<i>a-mū-muc-a-tam</i>	<i>a-mū-muc-a-ta</i>
3	<i>a-mū-muc-a-t</i>	<i>a-mū-muc-a-tām</i>	<i>a-mū-muc-a-n</i>

✓ <i>vac</i>			
ātmanēpada			
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-vōc-ē</i>	<i>a-vōc-ā-vahi</i>	<i>a-vōc-ā-mahi</i>
2	<i>a-vōc-a-thās</i>	<i>a-vōc-ēthām</i>	<i>a-vōc-a-dhvam</i>
3	<i>a-vōc-a-ta</i>	<i>a-vōc-ētām</i>	<i>a-vōc-a-n-ta</i>

Root aorist

Formula

augment + zero-grade + ending

Examples

root aorist		formation of 3. sg.		
	√	augm.	root	ending
<i>dā</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>dā</i>	<i>t</i>	
<i>sthā</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>sthā</i>	<i>t</i>	
<i>bhū</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>bhū</i>	<i>t</i>	

Root aorist

$\checkmark \ dā$			
parasmāipada			
aorist			
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-dā-m</i>	<i>a-dā-va</i>	<i>a-dā-ma</i>
2	<i>a-dā-s</i>	<i>a-dā-tam</i>	<i>a-dā-ta</i>
3	<i>a-dā-t</i>	<i>a-dā-tām</i>	<i>a-d-us</i>

Secondary athematic endings often have the variant *us* in 3. pers. pl.. After *dā*, **dā-us* and hence **dōs* would have been unrecognizable. Instead, we find *a-d-us*.

Root aorist

Consider, now, the root aorist for *bhū*. Before vowel endings (*am* and *an*, respectively), we obtain (more or less) expected *bhūv*:

✓ <i>bhū</i>			
parasmāipada			
aorist			
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-bhūv-am</i>	<i>a-bhū-va</i>	<i>a-bhū-ma</i>
2	<i>a-bhū-s</i>	<i>a-bhū-tam</i>	<i>a-bhū-ta</i>
3	<i>a-bhū-t</i>	<i>a-bhū-tām</i>	<i>a-bhūv-an</i>

Sigmatic aorist with sa

Formula

augment + zero-grade root + s + a + ending

Examples

sa-aorist	formation of 3. sg.					
	/	augm.	root	infix	them. vow.	ending
<i>diś</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>dik</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>a</i>		<i>t</i>
<i>viś</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>vik</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>a</i>		<i>t</i>
<i>dviś</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>dvik</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>a</i>		<i>t</i>

Sigmatic aorist with sa

✓ / <i>diś</i>			
parasmāipada			
aorist			
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-diks-a-m</i>	<i>a-diks-ā-va</i>	<i>a-diks-ā-ma</i>
2	<i>a-diks-a-s</i>	<i>a-diks-a-tam</i>	<i>a-diks-a-ta</i>
3	<i>a-diks-a-t</i>	<i>a-diks-a-tām</i>	<i>a-diks-a-n</i>

Sigmatic aorist with *iṣ*

Formula

augment + root + *iṣ* + ending

Examples

<i>iṣ</i> -aorist		formation of 3. sg.			
	✓	augm.	root	infix	ending
aś (ātm.)		a	aś	<i>iṣ</i>	<i>ta</i>
kamp (ātm.)		a	kamp	<i>iṣ</i>	<i>ta</i>
mud (ātm.)		a	môd	<i>iṣ</i>	<i>ta</i>
tan (par.)		a	tān (!)	ī	t
granth (par.)		a	granth	ī	t
rud (par.)		a	rôd	ī	t
kṛt (par.)		a	kart	ī	t

where the first example is *āśiṣṭa*.

Sigmatic aorist with *iṣ*

The *iṣ*-aorist has a peculiar 2. sg.. Consider, for example,

✓	<i>budh</i>		
	<i>parasmāipada</i>		
	<i>aorist</i>		
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-bôdh-iṣ-am</i>	<i>a-bôdh-iṣ-va</i>	<i>a-bôdh-iṣ-ma</i>
2	<i>a-bôdh-ī-s</i> (1)	<i>a-bôdh-iṣ-tam</i> (3)	<i>a-bôdh-iṣ-ta</i> (3)
3	<i>a-bôdh-ī-t</i> (2)	<i>a-bôdh-iṣ-tām</i> (3)	<i>a-bôdh-iṣ-us</i> (4)

- ① *a-bôdh-ī-s* ← *a-bôdh-is-s* + compensatory lengthening
- ② Building on the 2. sg., the 3. sg. *a-bôdh-ī-t* results from leveling:

	<i>a-bôdh-iṣ-t</i>	
influenced by	<i>a-bôdh-ī-s</i>	with <i>ī</i> plus secondary ending
turns into	<i>a-bôdh-ī-t</i>	with <i>ī</i> plus secondary ending

Sigmatic aorist with *siṣ*

Formula

augment + root + *siṣ* + ending

Examples

<i>siṣ</i> -aorist		formation of 3. sg.			
	✓	augm.	root	infix	ending
<i>yā</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>yā</i>	<i>sī</i>	<i>t</i>
<i>pā</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>pā</i>	<i>sī</i>	<i>t</i>
<i>snā</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>snā</i>	<i>sī</i>	<i>t</i>

The infix *siṣ* is not clearly visible in these sg. forms. Analogy from forms like Compare, however, the *budh* paradigm *a-bōdh-ī-t* above.

Sigmatic aorist with *siṣ*

✓ <i>yā-s</i>			
parasmāipada			
aorist			
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-yā-siṣ-am</i>	<i>a-yā-siṣ-va</i>	<i>a-yā-siṣ-ma</i>
2	<i>a-yā-sī-s</i>	<i>a-yā-siṣ-tam</i>	<i>a-yā-siṣ-ta</i>
3	<i>a-yā-sī-t</i>	<i>a-yā-siṣ-tām</i>	<i>a-yā-siṣ-us</i>

Sigmatic aorist with s

Formula

augment + lengthened root + s + ending

Examples for 3. pl. (!) forms

s-aorist		formation of 3. sg.			
	augm.	root	infix	ending	
yuj	a	yâuk (1)	s (2)	us	
bhaj	a	bhâk (1)	s (2)	us	
vah	a	vâk (1)	s (2)	us	
śap	a	śâp	s	us	
tap	a	tâp	s	us	
vas	a	vât (3)	s	us	
kṛ	a	kâr	s (2)	us	
bandh	a	bhânt (4)	s	us	

1. backward assimilation. For a-vâk-s-us see ie. *vegh.

Sigmatic aorist with s

s-aorist		formation of 3. sg.			
	✓	augm.	root	infix	ending
<i>yuj</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>yâuk</i> (1)	<i>s</i> (2)	<i>us</i>
<i>bhaj</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>bhāk</i> (1)	<i>s</i> (2)	<i>us</i>
<i>vah</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>vāk</i> (1)	<i>s</i> (2)	<i>us</i>
<i>śap</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>śāp</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>us</i>
<i>tap</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>tāp</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>us</i>
<i>vas</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>vāt</i> (3)	<i>s</i>	<i>us</i>
<i>kṛ</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>kār</i>	<i>s</i> (2)	<i>us</i>
<i>bandh</i>		<i>a</i>	<i>bhānt</i> (4)	<i>s</i>	<i>us</i>

3. Dissimilation of *a-vāt-s-us* from expected *a-vās-sus* is also observed in the future tense *vat-sy-a-ti*.
4. Compare *a-bhānt-s-us* with future *bhōt-sy-atī*

Sigmatic aorist with s

s-aorist	✓	3. sg.	3. pl.
<i>yuj</i>		<i>a-yâuk-ṣī-t</i>	<i>a-yâuk-ṣ-us</i>
<i>bhaj</i>		<i>abhāk-ṣī-t</i>	<i>a-bhāk-ṣ-us</i>
<i>vah</i>		<i>a-vāk-ṣī-t</i>	<i>a-vāk-ṣ-us</i>
<i>śap</i>		<i>a-śāp-ṣī-t</i>	<i>a-śāp-s-us</i>
<i>tap</i>		<i>a-tāp-ṣī-t</i>	<i>a-tāp-s-us</i>
<i>vas</i>		<i>a-vāt-ṣī-t</i>	<i>a-vāt-s-us</i>
<i>kṛ</i>		<i>a-kār-ṣī-t</i>	<i>a-kār-ṣ-us</i>
<i>bandh</i>		<i>a-bhānt-ṣī-t</i>	<i>a-bhānt-s-us</i>

The difference between sg. and pl. is explained by the *iṣ-* and *siṣ-*aorists presented above. The speakers came to consider *ī* as a possible “thematic vowel” for the two sg. forms and applied them here, were *a-yâuk-ṣ-t* would have produced **a-yâuk* by “simplification of final consonant clusters”.

Sigmatic aorist with s

\checkmark	<i>kṛ</i>		
	<i>parasmāipada</i>		
	<i>aorist</i>		
	<i>sing.</i>	<i>dual</i>	<i>plur.</i>
1	<i>a-kār-s-am</i>	<i>a-kār-s-va</i>	<i>a-kār-s-ma</i>
2	<i>a-kār-sī-s</i>	<i>a-kār-s-tam</i>	<i>a-kār-s-ta</i>
3	<i>a-kār-sī-t</i>	<i>a-kār-s-tām</i>	<i>a-kār-s-us</i>

Sigmatic aorist with s

√	śap		
	parasmāipada		
	aorist		
	sing.	dual	plur.
1	<i>a-śap-s-i</i>	<i>a-śap-s-vahi</i>	<i>a-śap-s-mahi</i>
2	<i>a-śap-thās</i> (1)	<i>a-śap-s-āṭham</i>	<i>a-śap-dhvam</i> (1)
3	<i>a-śap-ta</i> (1)	<i>a-śap-s-āṭām</i>	<i>a-śap-s-a-ta</i> (2)

- 1 Between consonants, the aorist marker *s* is lost. This is similar to the phenomenon that *s* is regularly lost between voiceless dentals. The concrete sound law is unclear.
- 2 The athematic ending 3. pl. is regularly *a-ta* from ie. **n-to* (or later analogy from similar occasions).