Some Applications

Applied Cooperative Game Theory

André Casajus, Martin Kohl and Maria Näther

Leipzig University

November 2013

Overview

- Some Applications Overview Veto Contradic Apex UN#1 UN#2
- Veto players/dictators
- Contradictory games
- Apex games
- UN Security Council
- Cost division

Veto players and dictators

Some Applications Overview Veto Contradic Apex UN#1 UN#2

Definition

Let $v \in \mathbb{V}(N)$ be a simple game. A player $i \in N$ is called a veto player if

$$v\left(N\setminus\{i\}\right)=0$$

holds.

Definition

Let $v \in \mathbb{V}(N)$ be a simple game. A player $i \in N$ is called a dictator if

$$v(S) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in S \\ 0, & i \notin S \end{cases}$$

holds for all $S \subseteq N$.

Problem

Is every veto player an dictator or every dictator a veto player?

Contradictory and decidable games

Definition

```
Some
Applications
Overview
Veto
Contradic
Apex
UN#1
UN#2
```

```
Let v \in \mathbb{V}(N) be simple. It is called non-contradictory if v(K) = 1 implies v(N \setminus K) = 0.
```

Definition

```
Let v \in \mathbb{V}(N) be simple. It is called decidable if v(K) = 0 implies v(N \setminus K) = 1.
```

Problem

Show that a simple game with a veto player is non-contradictory!

Apex Games

Definition

For $i \in N$, $|N| \ge 2$ an apex game $h_i \in \mathbb{V}(N)$ is defined by

$$h_{i}(K)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & i \in K, |K| > 1 \\ 1 & K = N \setminus \{i\} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{array} \right\}$$

Player i is called the main, or apex, player.

Problem

- Consider h_1 for |N| = 2 and |N| = 3. How do these games look like?
- Is the apex player a veto or a dictator player?

Some Applications Overview Veto Contradic Apex UN#1 UN#2

UN Security Council 1

- Some Applications Overview Veto Contradic Apex UN#1 UN#2
- 5 permanent (veto) members: China, France, United Kingdom, Russia and United States
- 10 non-permanent members: until December 2013: Azerbaijan,Guatemala, Morocco, Pakistan, Togo 2013/2014: Argentina. Australia, Rwanda, Luxembourg, South Korea from January 2014: Chad, Chile, Lithuania, Nigeria, Saudi Arabia

This situation can be modelled by a weighted voting game (see basic definitions)

[39; 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]

Problem

Show that every permanent member is a veto player! Show that the five permanent members need the additional support of four non-permanent ones! Is the Security Council's voting rule non-contradictory and decidable?

UN Security Council 2

■ For the fifteen members of the Security Council, we have

15! = 1.307.674.368.000

rank orders.

The Shapley values are

 $\frac{1}{5} - \frac{2}{45045}$ for each permanent member $\frac{1}{45045}$ for each non-permanent member

Some Applications Overview Veto Contradic Apex UN#1 UN#2