Advanced Microeconomics Static Bayesian games

Harald Wiese

University of Leipzig

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Part E. Bayesian games and mechanism design

- 1. Static Bayesian games
- 2. The revelation principle and mechanism design

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

Static Bayesian games

- 1. Conditional probability
- 2. Introduction and an example
- 3. Definitions
- 4. The Cournot model with one-sided cost uncertainty

- 5. Revisiting mixed-strategy equilibria
- 6. The first-price auction

Conditional probability

Definition

Given:

- nonempty set M,
- probability distribution prob on M;
- events A and B.

If $prob(B) \neq 0$, the conditional probability of A given B is defined by

$$prob(A|B) = rac{prob(A \cap B)}{prob(B)}.$$

If prob(A|B) = prob(A), A and B are independent.

Conditional probability

Exercises

Problem

Throw a dice. What is the conditional probability of 1, 2 or 3 pips (spots) if the number of pips is odd.

Problem

If events A and B are independent what about the probability of $A \cap B$?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Static Bayesian games

First-price auction

- Simultaneous bids where
 - the highest bidder obtains the object and
 - pays his bid.
- Two bidders 1 and 2 who know their own willingness to pay (their "type").
- Sequence:
 - Nature decides the players' types.
 - Every player learns his own type and can condition his bid on his own type.
- Static Bayesian games
 - = all players act simultaneously after learning their own types.

Static Bayesian game - example for extensive form

 $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ \, N = \{1,2\} \,, \\ \ \, \pmb{T}_1 = \left\{ t_1^1, t_1^2 \right\} \, \text{and} \\ \ \, \pmb{T}_2 = \left\{ t_2^1, t_2^2 \right\} \,, \end{array}$

•
$$A_1 = \{a, b\}$$
 and $A_2 = \{c, d\}$.

- At the initial node, nature chooses a type combination t = (t₁, t₂) ∈ T₁ × T₂.
- $|T_1|$ informations sets for player 1



Static Bayesian game

Definition (Static Bayesian game) A static Bayesian game is a quintuple

$$\Gamma = \left(\mathsf{N}, \left(\mathsf{A}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathsf{N}}, \left(\mathsf{T}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathsf{N}}, \tau, \left(u_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathsf{N}}
ight) = \left(\mathsf{N}, \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{T}, \tau, u\right),$$

where

- $N = \{1, ..., n\}$ is the player set,
- A_i is the action set for player $i \in N$ with Cartesian product $A = X_{i \in N} A_i$ and elements a_i and a_i , respectively,
- ► $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$ is the tuple of type sets T_i for players $i \in N$,
- au is the probability distribution on T, and
- $u_i : A \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ is player *i*'s payoff function (often $A \times T_i \to \mathbb{R}$)

Beliefs

Ex ante, before the players learn their own types, their beliefs are summarized by τ . The (a priori) probability for type t_i is given by

$$\tau(t_i) := \sum_{t_{-i}\in \mathcal{T}_{-i}} \tau(t_{-i}, t_i).$$

Definition (Belief)

Let Γ be a static Bayesian game with probability distribution τ on T. Player *i*'s ex-post (posterior) belief τ_i is the probability distribution on T_{-i} given by the conditional probability

$$\tau_{i}(t_{-i}) := \tau(t_{-i}|t_{i}) = \frac{\tau(t_{-i},t_{i})}{\tau(t_{i})} = \frac{\tau(t_{-i},t_{i})}{\sum_{t_{-i}\in T_{-i}}\tau(t_{-i},t_{i})}.$$
 (1)

Beliefs

Problem

Two bidders 1 and 2 with $T_1 = T_2 = \{high, low\}$ (willingness to pay) and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau (high, high) &= \frac{1}{3}, \ \tau (high, low) = \frac{1}{3}, \\ \tau (low, high) &= \frac{1}{9}, \ \tau (low, low) = \frac{2}{9}. \end{aligned}$$

Find

•
$$\tau(t_2 = high)$$
 (ex ante) and

 τ₁ (t₂ = high) if player 1 has learned that his own willingness to pay is high (ex post).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Actions, strategies, and equilibria

Definition

Let Γ be a static Bayesian game.

- A strategy for player i ∈ N is a function s_i : T_i → A_i. We sometimes write s (t) instead of (s₁ (t₁), ..., s_n (t_n)) ∈ A.
- ► A strategy combination s^{*} = (s₁^{*}, s₂^{*}, ..., s_n^{*}) is a Bayesian equilibrium (ex post) if

$$s_{i}^{*}(t_{i}) \in \arg \max_{a_{i} \in A_{i}} \sum_{t_{-i} \in T_{-i}} \tau_{i}(t_{-i}) u_{i}(a_{i}, s_{-i}^{*}(t_{-i}), t_{i}, t_{-i})$$

holds for all $i \in N$ and all $t_i \in T_i$ obeying $\tau(t_i) > 0$.

The qualification $\tau(t_i) > 0$ is necessary because $\tau_i(t_{-i})$ is ill-defined otherwise. $\tau(t_i) = 0$ implies "anything goes".

The Cournot model with one-sided cost uncertainty The model

Static Bayesian game $\Gamma = (\mathit{N}, (\mathit{A}_1, \mathit{A}_2), (\mathit{T}_1, \mathit{T}_2), \tau, (\mathit{u}_1, \mathit{u}_2))$ with

• the set of two firms $N = \{1, 2\}$,

- \blacktriangleright the action sets $A_1=A_2=[0,\infty)$,
- ▶ the type sets $T_1 = \{c_1^I, c_1^h\} = \{15, 25\}, T_2 = \{20\}, (c_2 = 20 \text{ known to both, } c_1 \text{ known to 1, only})$
- ▶ probability distribution τ on T given by τ (15, 20) = τ (25, 20) = $\frac{1}{2}$
- inverse demand function given by p(X) = 80 X and hence
- ▶ the payoff functions $u_2(x_1, x_2, t_2) = (p(X) - c_2) x_2 = (80 - (x_1 + x_2) - 20) x_2$ and

$$u_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{1}) = \begin{cases} (80 - (x_{1} + x_{2}) - 15) x_{1}, & t_{1} = c_{1}^{l} \\ (80 - (x_{1} + x_{2}) - 25) x_{1}, & t_{1} = c_{1}^{h} \end{cases}$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The Cournot model with one-sided cost uncertainty The model

The types are independent (trivial).

Ex-ante probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau & (20) \quad : \quad = \tau & (15, 20) + \tau & (25, 20) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1, \\ \tau & (15) \quad : \quad = \tau & (15, 20) = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and} \\ \tau & (25) \quad : \quad = \tau & (25, 20) = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

• Ex-post probability for $c_2 = 20$ (player 1's belief):

$$au_{1}(20) = rac{ au(t_{1}, 20)}{ au(t_{1})} = rac{rac{1}{2}}{rac{1}{2}} = 1 = au(20) \,.$$

• Ex-post probability for $c_1 = 15$ (player 2's belief):

$$\tau_{2}(15) = \frac{\tau(15, 20)}{\tau(20)} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \tau(15).$$

The Cournot model with one-sided cost uncertainty

The static Bayesian equilibrium

- Strategy sets:
 - ▶ player 2: S₂ = {s₂ : {c₂} → [0,∞)} to be identified with A₂
 ▶ player 1 : S₁ = {s₁ : {c₁^l, c₁^h} → [0,∞)}.

Firm 1's choice depends on its type:

$$\begin{split} s_{1}^{R}\left(t_{1}\right) &= \begin{cases} \arg\max_{x_{1}\in\left[0,\infty\right)}\left(80-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-c_{1}^{\prime}\right)x_{1}, & t_{1}=c_{1}^{\prime}\\ \arg\max_{x_{1}\in\left[0,\infty\right)}\left(80-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-c_{1}^{h}\right)x_{1}, & t_{1}=c_{1}^{h}\\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{65}{2}-\frac{1}{2}x_{2}, & t_{1}=c_{1}^{\prime}\\ \frac{55}{2}-\frac{1}{2}x_{2}, & t_{1}=c_{1}^{h} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The Cournot model with one-sided cost uncertainty

The static Bayesian equilibrium

Firm 2's profit is the expected value

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left(15 \right) \left(80 - \left[x_1' + x_2 \right] - 20 \right) x_2 \\ + \tau \left(25 \right) \left(80 - \left[x_1^h + x_2 \right] - 20 \right) x_2 \\ = \left(60 - \frac{1}{2} \left[x_1' + x_1^h \right] \right) x_2 - x_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the reaction function

$$x_{2}^{R} = s_{2}^{R}(20) = 30 - \frac{1}{4} \left[x_{1}^{\prime} + x_{1}^{h} \right].$$

- Three equations in the three unknowns x_2 , x'_1 , and x'_1 .
- They lead to the Nash equilibrium

$$x_2^* = 20, s_1^*(c_1') = \frac{45}{2}, \text{ and } s_1^*(c_1^h) = \frac{35}{2}.$$

Revisiting mixed-strategy equilibria

Continuous types

- We assume two players i = 1, 2 with types t_i from T_i = [0, x], x > 0.
- Types are independent.
- Density function:

$$\tau^{x}(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x}, & a \in [0, x] \\ 0, & a \notin [0, x] \end{cases}$$

• Hence, for $0 \le a \le b \le x$,

$$\tau^{x}\left([a,b]\right) = \int_{a}^{b} \tau^{x}\left(t\right) dt = \frac{b-a}{x}.$$



- The probability for a specific type *a* is zero: $\tau^{x}([a, a]) = \frac{a-a}{x} = 0.$
- Careful: Distinguish $\tau^{x}([a, a])$ from $\tau^{x}(a)$.

Revisiting mixed-strategy equilibria

Introducing uncertainty

- Static Bayesian games allow a fresh look on mixed equilibria.
- For a given matrix game with a mixed-strategy equilibrium, we construct a sequence of static Bayesian games that converges towards that game.
- In every Bayesian game, no player i ∈ N randomizes. However, from the point of view of the other players from N \ {i} who do not know the type t_i, it may well seem as if player i is a randomizer.

Revisiting mixed-strategy equilibria

Introducing uncertainty

Peter

		theatre	football
Cathy	theatre	$2+t_{C}$, 1	0, 0
	football	0, 0	$1, 2 + t_P$

Three equilibria. $((\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}))$ is the properly mixed one for $t_C = t_P = 0$.

Result (as shown in the manuscript): Cathy's probabilities in the static Bayesian games (which depend on x) converge towards her equilibrium mixed strategy as x goes towards 0.

The model

- Two bidders 1 and 2 with types $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$.
- Player 1's distribution is given by



The model

Formally, the first-price auction is the static Bayesian game

$$\Gamma = (\mathit{N}, (\mathit{A}_1, \mathit{A}_2), (\mathit{T}_1, \mathit{T}_2), \tau, (\mathit{u}_1, \mathit{u}_2))$$

where

• $N = \{1, 2\}$ is the set of the two bidders,

▶ $A_1 = A_2 = [0, \infty)$ are the sets of bids chosen by the bidders,

- $T_1 = T_2 = [0, 1]$ are the type sets,
- ▶ τ is the a probability distribution on T given by $\tau([a, b], [c, d]) = (b a) (d c)$ where $0 \le a \le b \le 1$ and $0 \le c \le d \le 1$ hold, and

The model

the payoff functions are defined by

$$u_{1}(a, t_{1}) = \begin{cases} t_{1} - a_{1}, & a_{1} > a_{2}, \\ \frac{t_{1} - a_{1}}{2}, & a_{1} = a_{2}, \\ 0, & a_{1} < a_{2}, \end{cases}$$
$$u_{2}(a, t_{2}) = \begin{cases} 0 & a_{1} > a_{2}, \\ \frac{t_{2} - a_{2}}{2} & a_{1} = a_{2}, \\ t_{2} - a_{2} & a_{1} < a_{2}. \end{cases}$$

Solution

In order to solve the first-price auction, we use the ex-post equilibrium definition. For example, if player 1 is of type $t_1 \in [0, 1]$, his condition for the equilibrium strategy combination (s_1^*, s_2^*) is

$$\begin{split} s_1^*\left(t_1\right) &\in & \arg\max_{a_1\in A_1} \left((t_1-a_1)\underbrace{\tau\left(\left\{t_2\in [0,1]:a_1>s_2^*\left(t_2\right)\right\}\right)}_{\text{probability that player 1's bid} \text{ is higher than player 2's bid}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left(t_1-a_1\right)\underbrace{\tau\left(\left\{t_2\in [0,1]:a_1=s_2^*\left(t_2\right)\right\}\right)}_{\text{probability for equal bids }=0} \right). \end{split}$$

Solution

 Following Gibbons (1992, pp. 152), we restrict our search for equilibrium strategies to linear strategies of the forms

$$egin{array}{rcl} s_1^*\left(t_1
ight)&=&c_1+d_1t_1\;(d_1>0),\ s_2^*\left(t_2
ight)&=&c_2+d_2t_2\;(d_2>0). \end{array}$$

By

$$egin{aligned} & au\left(\{t_2\in[0,1]:a_1>c_2+d_2t_2\}
ight)\ &=& au\left(\left\{t_2\in[0,1]:t_2<rac{a_1-c_2}{d_2}
ight\}
ight)\ &=& au\left(\left[0,rac{a_1-c_2}{d_2}
ight]
ight)=rac{a_1-c_2}{d_2}, \end{aligned}$$

... (next slide)

Solution

player 1's maximization problem is solved by

$$s_{1}^{R}\left(t_{1}
ight) = rg\max_{a_{1}\in\mathcal{A}_{1}}\left(t_{1}-a_{1}
ight)rac{a_{1}-c_{2}}{d_{2}} = rac{c_{2}+t_{1}}{2}.$$

- ▶ Player 1's best response to c₂ (and hence to player 2's strategy) is a linear strategy with c₁ = ^{c₂}/₂ and d₁ = ¹/₂.
- Analogously, bidder 2's best response is

$$s_{2}^{R}\left(t_{2}\right)=\frac{c_{1}+t_{2}}{2}$$

with $c_2 = \frac{c_1}{2}$ and $d_2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Now, $c_1 = \frac{c_2}{2} = \frac{\frac{c_1}{2}}{2} = \frac{c_1}{4}$ implies $c_1 = 0$. Equilibrium candidate:

$$s_1^* : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+, \quad t_1 \mapsto s_1^*(t_1) = \frac{t_1}{2} \text{ and}$$
$$s_2^* : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+, \quad t_2 \mapsto s_2^*(t_2) = \frac{t_2}{2}$$

SAC

Solution

- ► These strategies form an equilibrium because the strategies are best reponses to each other. If player 2 uses the (linear) strategy s₂^{*}, s₁^{*} (t₁) = t₁/2 is a best response as shown above. Thus, s₁^{*} turns out to be a linear strategy.
- Therefore, we have found an equilibrium in linear strategies but cannot exclude the possibility of an equilibrium in non-linear strategies.

First-price or second-price auction?

- We now take the auctioneer's perspective and ask the question whether the first-price auction is preferable to the second-price auction. The auctioneer compares the prices
 - min (t_1, t_2) for the second-price auction and
 - max $\left(\frac{1}{2}t_1, \frac{1}{2}t_2\right)$ for the first-price auction.
- We assume a risk-neutral auctioneer who maximizes the expected payoff.
- It can be shown (see manuscript) that the auctioneer is indifferent between the first-price and the second-price auction!

Further exercise

Game G_A with probability $p > \frac{1}{2}$, game G_B with probability 1 - p. Assume L > M > 1.

GA	left	right	G _B	left	right
up	М, М	1 , -L	up	0,0	1 , -L
down	- <i>L</i> , 1	0,0	down	- <i>L</i> , 1	М, М

- (a) Assume that both players are informed which game they play before they choose their actions. Formulate this game as a static Bayesian game!
- (b*) Assume that player 1 learns whether they play G_A or G_B while player 2 does not. Formulate this game as a static Bayesian game and determine all of its equilibria!