

Only the German version of these Regulations is to be considered legally binding, not the English translation thereof.

Doctoral Regulations

of the Faculty of Economics and Management Science

of the University of Leipzig

Dated October 6, 2020

Pursuant to §§ 40 and 88 of the Law on the Freedom of Universities in the Free State of Saxony (Saxon University Freedom Act - SächsHSFG) of December 10, 2008, last amended by Article 2 of the Law of October 18, 2012 (SächsGVBl. p. 568, 575) in the version as of January 1, 2013, the Faculty Council of the Faculty of Economics and Management Science of the University of Leipzig adopted the following Doctoral Regulations on May 18, 2016.¹

Content:

- § 1 Right to award doctorates
- § 2 Doctoral committees
- § 3 Basis of the doctorate
- § 4 Variants of the doctoral procedure
- § 5 Entry in the list of doctoral candidates
- § 6 Admission requirements for a doctoral procedure
- § 7 Aptitude test
- § 8 Application for the doctoral procedure
- § 9 Dissertation
- § 10 Doctoral studies
- § 11 Opening of the doctoral procedure
- § 12 Evaluators
- § 13 Evaluators' opinions
- § 14 Acceptance of the dissertation

¹ For the entire text that follows, grammatically masculine forms for designating persons include both female and male genders equally.

| | |
|------|---|
| § 15 | Defense |
| § 16 | Evaluation |
| § 17 | Award |
| § 18 | Obligatory copies, publication |
| § 19 | Invalidation of doctoral achievements, non-execution of the doctorate, withdrawal of the doctorate |
| § 20 | Right of objection |
| § 21 | Doctoral file |
| § 22 | Honorary doctorate |
| § 23 | Doctoral anniversary |
| § 24 | Transitional provisions |
| § 25 | Entry into force |

| | |
|----------|--|
| Anlage 1 | Titelseiten für einzureichende Dissertation und Pflichtexemplare |
| Anlage 2 | Selbstständigkeitserklärung, Bibliographische Beschreibung |
| Anlage 3 | Muster der Urkunde |

| | |
|---------|--|
| Annex 1 | Cover pages for the dissertation and deposit copies |
| Annex 2 | Declaration of academic integrity, bibliographic description |
| Annex 3 | Specimen certificate |

§ 1

Right to award doctorates

(1) The Faculty of Economics and Management Science (hereinafter referred to as "Faculty") of the University of Leipzig awards the doctoral degrees

- Doctor rerum politicarum (Dr. rer. pol.)
- Doctor engineer (Dr.-Ing.)

for fields of science represented at the Faculty within the framework of Master's programs or the appointment of professors in research and teaching.

(2) The Faculty may jointly award a binational doctoral degree to a faculty of a foreign university on the basis of joint supervision. In this case, the doctorate in joint supervision requires that the faculty has concluded an agreement with the academic partner institution which regulates the basis for the award of the binational doctoral degree. Otherwise, the regulations of these rules shall apply to the doctorate in joint supervision with a foreign faculty or university, unless otherwise stipulated below.

(3) The Faculty has the right to confer the honorary doctorate in accordance with § 22 (Doctor honoris causa). The term "h.c." is used to designate the doctorate.

(4) Multiple acquisition of the same doctoral degree is not possible.

§ 2

Doctoral committees

(1) The Faculty Council shall conduct the doctoral procedure upon application pursuant to § 8. It appoints a Doctoral Committee for each procedure.

(2) A university lecturer who is or has been a member of the Faculty shall nominate the members of the Doctoral Committee to the Faculty Council. The Doctoral Committee shall consist of the evaluators and the Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee. The Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee is a university lecturer and member of the Faculty.

- (3) The Doctoral Committee has a quorum if all members are present. It shall decide on the basis of a majority of the votes cast. The final decision in all doctoral matters is made by the Faculty Council.
- (4) The deliberations of the Faculty Council and the Doctoral Committee on doctoral matters shall not be made public. The defense of the candidate shall remain unaffected. The members of the Doctoral Committee are bound to secrecy.
- (5) Decisions of the Faculty Council and the Doctoral Committee will be communicated to the applicant in writing by the Dean's Office.
- (6) Incriminating decisions must be justified to the applicant in writing and must be accompanied by instructions on the right of appeal.

§ 3

Basis of the doctorate

- (1) To obtain a doctorate, an independently prepared written scientific paper that further develops the field of science (dissertation) must be submitted. The doctoral degree shall be conferred on the basis of the dissertation, which must be publicly defended. The dissertation must be published.
- (2) The dissertation is fundamentally an individual achievement.
- (3) If an additional doctoral degree is awarded, achievements from previous procedures will not be credited.

§ 4

Variants of the doctoral procedure

- (1) With the consent of their supervisor, applicants can choose between the following two variants of the doctoral procedure:
 1. The doctoral degree is awarded on the basis of the dissertation (§ 9), which is publicly defended (§ 15), and on the basis of achievements in the doctoral studies (§ 10).

2. The doctoral degree is awarded on the basis of the dissertation (§ 9), which is publicly defended (§ 15).
- (2) The chosen doctoral procedure must be indicated at the time of registration in the list of doctoral candidates. The choice made may be changed with the consent of the supervisor at the time of application for the doctoral procedure. After this time, the choice of the doctoral procedure shall be binding.

§ 5

Entry in the list of doctoral candidates

- (1) Upon justified request, the Dean shall include the applicant in the list of doctoral candidates. The applicant will receive a certificate which must be presented for enrollment and entitles the applicant to make use of the university facilities. The application must be accompanied by the curriculum vitae, the certificates, a confirmation from the supervisor stating the scientific field of the doctorate, the desired doctoral degree and the topic of the dissertation, as well as the written decision on the chosen variant of the doctoral procedure.
- (2) If, eight years after the entry in the list of doctoral candidates, the applicant has not submitted an application to carry out the doctoral procedure in accordance with § 8, the candidate shall be removed from the list of doctoral candidates.

§ 6

Admission requirements for a doctoral procedure

- (1) Admission to the doctoral procedure is open to anyone who
1. has obtained a Diplom, Master's or Magister degree or the state examination at a university or a university of applied sciences in the Federal Republic of Germany in a course of study related to the field of study of the doctorate with an overall grade of "good" or a better overall grade
 2. and who is entered in the list of doctoral candidates (§ 5),

3. submits a dissertation in accordance with § 9, for the assessment of which a university lecturer who is or has been a member of the Faculty has issued a binding declaration of consent,
 4. has not previously been the subject of a similar doctoral procedure, has not definitively failed a similar procedure or is not in a dormant procedure,
 5. submits a proper application for the doctoral procedure, taking into account § 1, with all the necessary documents pursuant to § 8, and
 6. has applied for a certificate of good conduct to be sent to the Faculty in accordance with § 30 (5) of the Federal Central Register Act. The certificate of good conduct may not be older than three months. In the event of existing entries, admission may be denied if the applicant appears to be unworthy of holding the doctoral degree on this basis.
- (2) If the applicant holds a degree from a university of applied sciences, the dissertation can be jointly supervised by a professor from the Faculty of Economics and Business Administration and a professor from the University of Applied Sciences within the framework of a cooperative doctoral procedure.
- (3) Furthermore, anyone who has obtained a Bachelor's degree at a university or a university of applied sciences in the Federal Republic of Germany in a course of study related to the scientific field of the doctorate and has completed the course with the overall grade "very good" can be admitted to the doctoral procedure by way of an aptitude test pursuant to § 7. Paragraph 1 No. 2 to 6 shall apply accordingly.
- (4) The Dean shall then decide on the recognition of the equivalence of foreign examinations and degrees, if necessary, taking into account the statement of the Central Office for Foreign Education of the Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs of the Länder of the Federal Republic of Germany.

§ 7

Aptitude test

- (1) If an applicant does not hold a university degree pursuant to § 6 paragraph 1 No. 1, he/she must take an aptitude test, which must be applied for in writing to the Dean by the supervisor, whereby the Faculty Council shall decide on its content and scope. Exceptions are only permitted in accordance with paragraph 2.

- (2) An aptitude test can be waived by decision of the Faculty Council following a written application to the Dean of the Faculty, provided that at least one of the following conditions has been met:
1. in the case of a subject-related university degree leading to a Diplom, Master or Magister degree or a subject-related state examination,
 2. in the case of proven specialist scientific activity over a longer period of time in the scientific field in which the dissertation is to be submitted, or
 3. if the applicant holds a university degree (diploma, master's or master's degree) or a state examination in a field of study not related to the scientific field of the doctorate and at the same time holds a bachelor's degree from a university or a university of applied sciences in the scientific field of the doctorate, which is represented at the faculty
- (3) The aptitude test comprises essential examinations from the Master's program which corresponds to the scientific field of the doctorate. The exam is to be taken in three modules, which the candidate chooses. Partial academic achievements performed earlier can be credited upon request. The examination and study regulations of the existing Master's programs govern the modules.
- (4) Passing each examination is a prerequisite for the determination of overall aptitude. The repetition of failed exams within the same aptitude test procedure is excluded. The aptitude test procedure can be repeated once upon written request. Exams that have already been passed will be credited for the repetition.

§ 8

Application for the doctoral procedure

- (1) The written application for a doctoral procedure is to be submitted to the Dean of the Faculty, stating the doctoral degree "Dr. rer. pol." or "Dr.-Ing." and the scientific field according to § 1 paragraph 1. The following documents must be enclosed with the application:
1. Three copies together with a summary of the scientific core statements of the dissertation (3 to 5 pages) and an electronic version in a suitable form. If more than two evaluators are appointed in the course of the doctoral procedure, the

- corresponding number of copies of the dissertation must be submitted subsequently. Evidence must be provided for publications that have already been published.
2. A curriculum vitae in tabular form showing the candidate's personal and scientific career and educational background, including passed academic examinations as well as those the candidate has failed.
 3. Proof of passing the three examinations in the aptitude assessment procedure, insofar as this was required pursuant to § 7, paragraph 1.
 4. Documentary or officially certified evidence of compliance with the requirements pursuant to § 6 for admission to the doctoral procedure, in particular of the university degree applicable to the scientific field of the doctorate as well as of further or other academic examinations and, if applicable, admission notices. In the case of degrees obtained abroad, certified copies of authorized translations into German must be submitted in addition to the certified copies of the original documents. For academic degrees obtained abroad, the permission granted by the responsible ministry to use this degree in Germany must be submitted, if applicable.
 5. Declaration on the recognition of these doctoral regulations.
 6. Declaration according to paragraph 2.
- (2) When applying for the doctoral procedure, the candidate must state in a written declaration that
1. the submitted dissertation has been prepared without inadmissible assistance, in particular without the use of a doctoral advisor, and without the use of other than the indicated aids, and that the thoughts taken directly or indirectly from external sources are marked as such in the work, and
 2. the submitted dissertation has not been submitted, either in whole or in part, either in Germany or abroad in the same or a similar form to an examination office for the purpose of a doctorate or other examination procedure.
- (3) The date of submission and the commencement of the processing of the application is deemed to be the date on which the required documents have been submitted in full to the Dean's Office of the Faculty.
- (4) An application may be withdrawn as long as the doctoral procedure has not been opened in accordance with § 11; in such a case, the application shall be deemed not to have been submitted.

§ 9

Dissertation

- (1) The dissertation shall demonstrate the candidate's capacity to independently achieve scientific results that represent a development of the scientific field, its theories and methods.
- (2) The dissertation must present the achieved results in an appropriate written form. The dissertation can be submitted as a purely monographic single publication. However, the dissertation may also include publications and publication manuscripts. If the dissertation contains independent writings (e.g. parts with independent topics, publications or manuscripts), these parts must be preceded by an introductory chapter. This chapter shall introduce the superordinate topic of the doctoral thesis. In addition, the context of the publications, manuscripts or individual parts is presented and the results that have been achieved are summarized, placed in a larger context and discussed. In the case of predominantly publication-based dissertations, the introductory chapter and the information listed in paragraph 3 must clearly indicate the independent work of the doctoral candidate and allow the evaluators to evaluate it comprehensively.
- (3) In the event that the dissertation contains publications or manuscripts by several authors or parts of it which have been produced with the participation of several persons, the doctoral candidate's own contribution to the conception and execution of the research as well as to the preparation of the manuscript must be clearly indicated. Furthermore, a declaration written and signed by the candidate and countersigned by the co-authors on the division of the contributions in the thesis must be submitted for each contribution.
- (4) The dissertation must be written in German or English. Some parts may be written in German and others in English.
- (5) In addition to the text part and the table of contents and literature, the dissertation contains the following in an integrated form
 1. a title page (Anlage 1/1 or Annex 1/1),
 2. a depiction of the scientific career of the author,
 3. a declaration of academic integrity (Anlage 2/1 or Annex 2/1) and
 4. dissertation-related bibliographic data (Anlage 2/2 or Annex 2/2).

§ 10

Doctoral studies

- (1) Completion of the doctoral studies program is attested by proof of successful participation in three courses of a doctoral program of the Faculty. The proof is provided by presenting graded credits. Alternatively, the Dean may recognize credits not obtained at the Faculty in the form of graded transcripts, unless there are substantial differences in the acquired competences. Reasons for nonrecognition must be provided in writing.
- (2) The successful completion of the doctoral studies program will be certified upon application together with the doctoral certificate upon completion of the procedure.

§ 11

Opening of the doctoral procedure

- (1) The Faculty Council shall decide on the opening of the doctoral procedure if, after an examination by the Dean, it is established that the documents to be submitted in accordance with § 8 are complete and valid and that all admission requirements have been met.
- (2) The evaluators of the dissertation and the Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee are defined with the opening of the procedure by the Faculty Council.
- (3) The Faculty Council may demand that the title of the dissertation be revised and that submitted documents be specified or completed. In such a case, the opening of the procedure may be deferred until the improved versions of these documents have been submitted. Fulfillment of the requirements is to be checked by the Doctoral Committee.
- (4) The decision with regard to the opening or non-opening of the procedure is made at the ordinary Faculty Council meeting following the submission, provided that the complete documents have been submitted to the Dean's Office 14 days prior to this.
- (5) The decisions on the opening or non-opening of the procedure, the selection of the evaluators and the Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee as well as on any documents to be submitted in the rectification procedure must be made known to the applicant in writing within 14 days of the Dean's decision. In the event of non-opening of the

procedure, the decision must be justified and accompanied by instructions on the right of appeal.

- (6) If a doctoral procedure is not opened, the candidate's application as well as one copy each of the dissertation and the summary of the scientific core statements shall remain in the Dean's office. All other documents submitted will be returned to the candidate.

§ 12

Evaluators

A dissertation must be evaluated by at least two evaluators. One evaluator must be a professor at a university appointed according to § 60 or § 62 SächsHSFG. Further evaluators can be professors at universities of applied sciences or junior professors, or they have to prove at least habilitation adequate achievements. One of the evaluators must be a university lecturer who is or was active at the Faculty of Economics and Management Science.

§ 13

Evaluator's opinion

- (1) The evaluator's opinions are obtained from the Dean.
- (2) The evaluator's opinions are sent to the Dean in written form. They are used for the purposes of decision making by the Doctoral Committee. The evaluators recommend the acceptance or rejection of the dissertation. The dissertation is to be evaluated according to § 16 paragraph 1 (grade and grade).
- (3) In cases pursuant to § 14 paragraph 3, additional evaluator's opinions may be ordered.
- (4) The evaluators may recommend to the Doctoral Committee that conditions be imposed.
- (5) The evaluator's opinions must be submitted within three months of the commissioning of the dissertation. The Dean shall point this out to the evaluators.

§ 14

Acceptance of the dissertation

- (1) In the period between the opening of the proceedings and the defense of the dissertation, it is possible to inspect the dissertation in the Dean's office. After receipt of the requested reports, the members of the Faculty Council, the faculty professors and the other evaluators have the right to inspect the reports including the grade suggestions within a period of two weeks and to submit a written statement. They must be informed of this by the Dean prior to the commencement of the display period.
- (2) After the expiration of this period, the Doctoral Committee shall decide on the acceptance of the dissertation, taking into account the written comments according to paragraph 1, and shall inform the Dean's office of its decision without delay.
- (3) If non-acceptance is recommended in at least one evaluator's opinion or if university lecturers of the Faculty express justified doubts in writing about the acceptance of the submitted dissertation, the Faculty Council shall decide on the acceptance or non-acceptance or on the request for further evaluations on the recommendation of the Doctoral Committee. If further evaluator opinions are obtained, the procedure shall be in accordance with §§ 11 to 13. The candidate must be informed of this in writing.
- (4) The Doctoral Committee may decide to impose conditions in accordance with § 13 paragraph 4 following the acceptance of the dissertation. In doing so, the Commission shall take into account the recommendations of the evaluators. The Doctoral Committee shall specify in writing which conditions are to be fulfilled and within what period of time.
- (5) If conditions have been imposed on the acceptance of the dissertation in accordance with paragraph 4, the Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee shall determine whether the conditions have been met in due time. In any case, the conditions must be satisfied prior to the defense. In the event of failure to meet the conditions or to meet them in due time in accordance with paragraph 4, the doctoral procedure shall be terminated without success if no extension of the deadline is granted.
- (6) The decision to accept the thesis is a prerequisite for admission to the defense.
- (7) The decision on the acceptance or non-acceptance of the dissertation must be notified to the candidate in writing by the Dean within a period of two weeks. In the event of

acceptance, the candidate must be provided with the evaluators' opinions at the same time.

- (8) A dissertation that has not been accepted by the Faculty can generally be resubmitted in a revised version at the earliest six months after the decision on non-acceptance, but at the latest one year after the decision on non-acceptance, taking into account all the formalities required by these regulations. The Faculty Council decides on any exceptions. An aptitude test already successfully passed in the first stage of the procedure shall be recognized. The Faculty Council may appoint the same Doctoral Committee as in the first stage of the procedure.
- (9) If the resubmission has not been made after the one-year deadline or after the deadline specified in the exceptional case in accordance with paragraph 8, the procedure shall be deemed to have been finally terminated without success.

§ 15

Defense

- (1) The candidate has to present the results achieved with the dissertation publicly in a lecture, which should be no longer than 45 minutes long, and answer questions from the auditorium. The discussion shall deal with the dissertation and its scientific environment. It should not exceed a period of 45 minutes.
- (2) After acceptance of the dissertation, the Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee must coordinate the date of the defense with the members of the Doctoral Committee and inform the Dean of the date of the defense. The candidate must be informed of the date of the defense by the Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee at least two weeks before the defense.
- (3) The Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee shall announce the defense to the faculty with the same notice. In addition, other external representatives of the Faculty may be invited in accordance with the provisions of the Doctoral Committee.
- (4) The defense may take place on the appointed date if
 1. the candidate claims no temporary impairment of his mental or physical condition, and
 2. all members of the Doctoral Committee are present.

- (5) The Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee or a member of the Doctoral Committee appointed by him/her will lead the defense. It should be noted that
1. the summary of the scientific core statements of the dissertation (3 to 5 pages) is available,
 2. the composition of the Doctoral Committee is announced,
 3. the candidate is presented,
 4. minutes are kept of the defense and the subsequent discussion in accordance with § 21 (2), and
 5. questions are rejected that are not related to the scientific subject of the dissertation
- (6) In non-public deliberation, the Doctoral Committee shall decide immediately after the defense on the outcome of the defense and the evaluation in accordance with § 16. The defense is passed precisely when it has been assessed with at least the rating "rite". Furthermore, the Doctoral Committee conclusively determines the overall evaluation in the doctoral procedure in accordance with § 16 paragraph 2 and the scientific field of the doctorate. Both decisions shall then be announced verbally.
- (7) A failed defense can be repeated within one year, but at the earliest after three months, at the candidate's request.
- (8) A defense shall be deemed to have been definitively unsuccessful and the doctoral procedure shall be terminated without success if
1. the application for repetition has not been received in writing by the Dean within four weeks of the failure of the defense,
 2. the repetition of the defense is not carried out in time, through the candidate's fault, or
 3. the repeated defense is not passed.

§ 16

Evaluation

- (1) The credits earned in the doctoral process are to be evaluated with the following grades:

| | |
|------------------|---------------|
| summa cum laude: | 1.0 and 1.3 |
| magna cum laude: | 1.7; 2.0; 2.3 |
| cum laude: | 2.7; 3.0; 3.3 |
| rite: | 3.7 and 4.0 |
| non sufficit: | 5.0 |

- (2) The Doctoral Committee decides on the overall grade of the doctoral performance. This is the arithmetic mean, rounded to one decimal place, of the individual credits listed in § 4, using the weights listed in paragraph 3:

| | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| summa cum laude: | up to and including 1.3 |
| magna cum laude: | from over 1.3 up to and including 2.3 |
| cum laude: | from over 2.3 up to and including 3.3 |
| rite: | from over 3.3 up to and including 4.0 |

- (3) The weighting for determining the overall grade are as follows for the variants of the doctoral procedure mentioned in § 4:

Variant 1.: Dissertation: 2/3; Defense: 1/6; credits of the doctoral studies program: 1/6

Variant 2.: Dissertation: 2/3; Defense: 1/3

- (4) The decision on the overall grade is made by the Faculty Council on the recommendation of the Doctoral Committee.

§ 17

Awarding

- (1) The award of the doctoral degree following a successfully completed doctoral procedure is decided upon by the Faculty Council. This decision is made in the ordinary meeting of the Faculty Council immediately following the defense, provided that all documents are available in full at the Dean's Office up to two working days prior to the meeting. The Dean must inform the candidate of the award decision in writing.

- (2) The doctoral certificate shall be handed over when all requirements for holding the doctoral title according to these doctoral regulations have been met, in particular when the requirements according to § 18 for the submission of deposit copies in the University Library have verifiably been met. The doctoral certificate shall be issued in accordance with the Faculty's specifications; it shall certify the completed award of the doctorate. A sample of the certificate is shown in Appendix 3.
- (3) The entitlement to use the doctoral title commences with the presentation of the doctoral certificate.

§ 18

Obligatory copies, publication

- (1) The dissertation shall be published and made available to the scientific community by submitting four copies of the dissertation free of charge to the University Library, which shall immediately include it in its catalog and ensure its permanent storage.
- (2) Alternatively, the dissertation can be published online on the document server of the University Library of Leipzig. The University Library will immediately include the dissertation in its catalog and ensure permanent accessibility to the dissertations published online. The format of the online publication shall be established in consultation with the University Library.
- (3) The deadline for the compulsory publication of the dissertation in one of the two forms mentioned above is 6 months. Should a publisher intend to publish the dissertation, this period can be extended twice by the Doctoral Committee upon reasoned request for a maximum of one year each time.
- (4) If the deposit copies are culpably not submitted on time, all rights acquired through the examination shall expire. The candidate will receive a notification of this from the Dean.

§ 19

Invalidation of doctoral achievements, failure to complete the doctorate, revocation of the doctoral degree

- (1) Doctoral achievements may be declared invalid and the doctorate may not be completed or the doctorate may be withdrawn should it become known that
 1. essential requirements for admission to the doctorate were not met and the candidate wrongly obtained admission,
 2. doctoral services were provided under false pretenses or
 3. if facts become known which would have excluded or would have excluded the award.
- (2) The Faculty Council shall decide on the invalidity of doctoral achievements, the non-execution of the doctorate and the withdrawal of the doctoral degree. The person concerned must be given the opportunity to comment before the decision is reached.

§ 20

Right of objection

Candidates have the right to appeal against incriminating decisions. The objection must be lodged with the Dean in writing or for recording within one month following receipt of the respective decision.

§ 21

Doctoral file

- (1) The summarized doctoral documents constitute the doctoral file. It is kept in the Dean's office throughout the procedure.
- (2) The Doctoral Committee shall prepare a record of all deliberations and decisions in a doctoral procedure, which shall be attached to the doctoral file after it has been signed by its Chairperson.

- (3) The candidate will be granted access to the doctoral file upon request after completion of the doctoral procedure. The application shall be submitted to the Dean within one month after the award of the doctoral degree or after the resolution to terminate the procedure.

§ 22

Honorary doctorate

- (1) In agreement with the Senate, the Faculty has the right to award honorary doctorates for special services to the scientific fields it represents.
- (2) An application for the award of an honorary doctorate must be submitted by at least three professors of the Faculty and justified in writing. After obtaining a statement from the Senate, the Faculty Council shall decide on the awarding of the honorary doctorate by secret ballot with a majority of the valid votes cast.
- (3) The honorary doctorate is awarded by handing over a certificate signed by the Rector and the Dean. The Dean shall confer the degree.
- (4) The degree "Doctor honoris causa" may be withdrawn pursuant to a ruling procedure corresponding to paragraph 2, if the holder of the degree has been convicted of an offense by a final court decision. If he has been convicted of a crime, the degree must be revoked.

§ 23

Doctoral anniversary

The faculty may honor the 50th anniversary of the conferral of the doctoral degree if this is deemed appropriate in view of the special scientific merits or the particularly close connection of the person to be honored with the Faculty or the University of Leipzig as a whole. The choice of the occasion and the form of the honor are at the discretion of the Faculty. The Faculty Council shall decide on this by means of a majority of the votes of its members present. The anniversary will be honored with a certificate of honor.

§ 24

Transitional provisions

Ongoing doctoral procedures that were opened prior to the effective date of these doctoral regulations will be conducted in accordance with the regulations in effect at the time of opening.

§ 25

Entry into force

- (1) The Faculty Council of the Faculty of Economics and Management Science approved the present doctoral regulations on May 18, 2016. The Rectorate approved them on February 6, 2020. The doctoral regulations come into force on the day after their announcement in the Official Notices of the University of Leipzig.
- (2) At the same time, all regulations previously issued by the University of Leipzig for the implementation of doctoral procedures at the Faculty of Economics and Management Science become invalid.

Leipzig, October 6, 2020

Professor Dipl.-Ing. Johannes Ringel
Dean

Professor Dr. Beate Schücking
Rector

Title page for the work to be submitted

.....
.....
.....

(Title)

Submitted to the Faculty of Economics and Management Science
of the University of Leipzig

DISSERTATION

for the acquisition of the academic degree

.....

(Academic degree)

.....

(Short form)

submitted

by

.....

(academic degree, first name, last name)

Born on in

Leipzig,

(Date of submission)

Cover pages for the dissertation and deposit copies

.....
.....
.....

(Title)

Approved by the Faculty of Economics and Management Science
of the University of Leipzig

DISSERTATION

for the acquisition of the academic degree

.....

(Academic degree)

.....

(Short form)

submitted

by

.....

(academic degree, first name, last name)

born on in

Evaluators:

.....

.....

Day of the award ceremony

Declaration of academic integrity

I hereby declare that I have completed the present dissertation independently and without any unauthorized external aid, in particular without the help of a doctoral advisor. I have not used any sources and aids other than those listed and have marked as such all text passages taken literally or in spirit from published or unpublished writings and all information based on oral information. Likewise, all materials or services provided by other persons are marked as such.

.....

(City, date)

.....

(Signature)

Bibliographic description

Last name, First name

Title of the work

University of Leipzig, dissertation

... S. *, ... Lit. *, ... Fig., ... Annexes (etc.)

In the event of a publication-based doctorate, in the case of co-authorship, all participating authors must declare which part of the respective individual contribution originates from the author.

Presentation:

Brief description of the work

(The bibliographical description and presentation may not exceed one page)

*

... p. (total number of pages)

... Lit. (number of references listed in the bibliography)

University of Leipzig

(Seal)

Under the Rectorate of the Professor
for
(Name)

and the Deanery of the Professor
for
(Name)

the Faculty of Economics and Management Science awards

Mr./Ms.
Born on in

the academic degree

.....
(Dr.)

for the scientific field

after his/her scientific qualification has been proven in an ordinary procedure on the
basis of the dissertation on the subject

.....
.....
(Title)

For the overall performance the grade

.....

has been awarded.

Leipzig,
(embossed seal)

The Rector

The Dean

Cover page for the dissertation

.....
.....
.....

(title)

A DISSERTATION
Submitted to the Faculty of Economics and Management Science,
Leipzig University,
for Obtaining the Academic Degree

.....

(degree)

.....

(short form)

Presented

by

.....

(academic degree, first name surname)

born on in

Leipzig,

(filing date)

Cover page for deposit copies

.....
.....
.....

(title)

A DISSERTATION
Approved by the Faculty of Economics and Management Science,
Leipzig University,
for Obtaining the Academic Degree

.....

(degree)

.....

(short form)

Presented

by

.....

(academic degree, first name surname)

born on in

Reviewers:

.....

.....

Date of conferral

Declaration of academic integrity

I hereby declare that I have composed this dissertation myself and without inadmissible outside help, in particular without the help of a doctoral consultant (*Promotionsberater*). I have used no other sources and aids than those stated. I have indicated all text passages that are incorporated, verbatim or in substance, from published or unpublished writings. I have indicated all data or information that is based on oral communication. All material or services provided by other persons are indicated as such.

.....

(Place, date)

.....

(signature)

Bibliographic description:

Surname, first name

Title of work

Leipzig University, dissertation

... pp.*, ... ref. *, ... figures, ... annexes (etc.)

In cases of co-authorship (publication-based dissertation), all authors are required to declare their respective portions for each article.

Presentation:

A short description of the work's contents

(Maximum one page for bibliographic description and presentation)

*

... pp. (total number of pages)

... ref. (number of bibliographical references in bibliography)

Leipzig University

(traditional seal)

During the terms of office of Dr _____ (Rector's name)

professor of _____ (field) as Rector and

Dr _____ (Dean's name)

professor of _____ (field) as Dean

the Faculty of Economics and Management Science hereby confers upon
Mr / Ms

born on _____ in _____

the Academic Degree

.....
(degree)

for the field

after having demonstrated his / her academic competency through a dissertation entitled
.....
.....
(title)

in accordance with the doctoral regulations.

The overall grade is

Leipzig,
(embossed stamp)

Rector

Dean